EXHIBIT G

Excerpts of Konstantinidis Deposition

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

EXAMINATION OF

FOTIOS KONSTANTINIDIS

TAKEN ON

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2024

CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER:

JESSIE WAACK, RDR, CRR, CCRR, NYRCR, NYACR, CCR-NJ (No. 30XI008238700) CSR-TX (No. 11958) CCR-WA (No. 21007264), CSR-CA (No. 14420), REALTIME SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATOR JOB NO.: 1105009



	Page 14		Page 15
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	matter?	2	in any way since you completed and executed
3	A. By saying "complete," what do you	3	your reports reflected in Exhibits 1 and 2?
4	mean?	4	A. No, they haven't.
5	Q. Are you offering opinions on any	5	Q. Is there anything in those
6	subjects that are outside Exhibits the	6	reports that you would like to amend or
7	scope of Exhibits 1 and 2?	7	clarify with respect to the testimony
8	A. These are my reports, but based	8	that or not testimony, with respect to
9	on the on your questions, I will clarify	9	the opinions that are contained in those
10	my opinions	10	reports?
11	Q. Okay.	11	A. No, I don't.
12	A or provide additional	12	Q. Mr. Konstantinidis, you're not
13	insights.	13	offering an opinion on any alternative ways
14	Q. And with respect to the are	14	that the debtors could try to realize value
15	you you're intending to testify at the	15	from Maps, Oxy or Serum, are you?
16	hearing on March 20 before the Court?	16	A. The scope of my opinions were to
17	A. Yes.	17	value the portfolios of the four entities.
18	Q. Are you intending to provide	18	It wasn't in scope to determine how the
19	testimony and offer opinions on any	19	liquidation of the debtors' side will take
20	subjects that are not contained in	20	place.
21	Exhibits 1 and 2?	21	Q. So you're not offering an opinion
22	A. I will be testifying based on my	22	on how the debtors would liquidate those
23	opinions; however, based on the questions,	23	assets?
24	I may expand on my opinions.	24	A. I'm not offering an opinion on
25	Q. Have any of your opinions changed	25	how the liquidation will be implemented.
	Page 16		Page 17
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	Q. And you're not offering an	2	A. Well, in many cases, either
3	opinion on any alternatives to a	3	individuals or entities, they will decide
4	liquidation?	4	to burn tokens, basically throw them away
5	A. My opinions are strictly on	5	from the wallet ID so for different
6	valuing the portfolios as of the petition	6	strategies.
7	date and time.	7	Q. You're not offering an opinion
8	Q. Similarly, Mr. Konstantinidis,	8	that the debtors should be burning Maps,
9	you're not offering any opinion with	9	Oxy or Serum tokens in this case, are you?
10	respect to trading strategies or other ways	10	A. Again, solely my opinions was to
11	the debtors might monetize their token	11	value the portfolios.
12	portfolio, correct?	12	Q. So you're not offering an opinion
13	A. Correct. That's out of the scope	13	with respect to whether it makes sense for
14	of my opinions.	14	the debtors to burn tokens in this matter,
15	Q. And you're not suggesting that	15	are you?
16	the debtors should hedge or short or do	16	A. I'm not offering any opinions
17	anything else with respect to their tokens	17	about potential strategies from the
18	with respect to monetization of those	18	debtors.
19	tokens, correct?	19	Q. Did you have in completing the
20	A. Correct.	20	analysis and opinions reflected in your
21	Q. Are you familiar with the concept	21	reports, did you have assistance from
22	of burning tokens?	22	anyone in completing that work?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. Yes, I did.
24	Q. What is the concept of burning	24	Q. Who assisted you?
25	tokens?	25	A. I had two members of my team that



	Page 18		Page 19
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	assisted me in the data analysis and also	2	Q. Exhibit B there is a copy of your
3	another person that assisted me with a	3	CV; is that correct?
4	blockers discount model with a model that I	4	A. Exhibit B or Exhibit 1?
5	use for valuation.	5	Q. Exhibit B to that document, sir.
6	Q. Are these other employees at	6	A. Oh, okay. Okay.
7	Stout?	7	Q. Is that a copy of your CV?
8	A. There are other in general?	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. No. Are the are the	9	Q. Is that CV still accurate as we
10	individuals who assisted you other	10	sit here today?
11	employees of Stout?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Mr. Konstantinidis, I understand
13	Q. What was their role in the	13	from your CV that you obtained an
14	analysis compared to yours?	14	undergraduate degree in physics in Greece;
15	A. The role of one of them was to	15	is that correct?
16	analyze the data we received. The role of	16	A. That's correct.
17	the second was to mine the blockchain so we	17	Q. Then you attended UCLA for
18	can get cryptocurrency data. The third one	18	graduate school; is that right?
19	was to run the Stout valuation model under	19	A. Yes.
20	my guidance.	20	Q. What degrees did you obtain in
21	Q. If you could look at Exhibit B to	21	connection with your graduate studies?
22	what is Exhibit 1 of your report, your	22	A. I obtained a master's in space
23	report that you filed on behalf of the DLA	23	physics and a master's in computer science.
24	clients.	24	Q. Do you hold any degrees in
25	A. Uh-huh.	25	finance?
	Page 20		Page 21
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. No.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you hold any degrees in	3	Q. Okay. And prior to your role
4	economics?	4	joining Stout, did you do any work
5	A. No.	5	performing asset valuation?
6	Q. Do you hold any professional	6	A. No.
7	certifications or licenses in either	7	Q. Prior to joining Stout, in your
8	finance or economics?	8	experience, did you have any experience
9	A. No.	9	with application of asset discounts?
10	Q. Do you hold any degrees in	10	A. No.
11	valuation?	11	Q. Prior to your joining Stout in
12	A. What do you mean "degrees in	12	2019, did you have any professional
13	valuation"?	13	experience with respect to digital assets?
14	Q. Do you have any either	14	A. Yes.
15	educational degrees or professional	15	Q. Can you explain for me that
16	certifications in valuation.	16	experience prior to joining Stout?
17	A. As far as I know, there's no	17	A. Yes. That experience was with
18	degree in valuation. So you mean	18	Visa, obviously the biggest payment
19	certification or	19	network, between 2015 and 2017. There were
20	Q. Any whether it be	20	multiple prototypes on the blockchain
21	certification, licensing, professional	21	network. And products I built with startup
22	A. No.	22	at Visa was investing investing in
23	Q. Based on your CV, sir, I	23	chain.com.
24	understand you've been with Stout since	24	At McKinsey, there were clients
25	August of 2019; is that correct?	25	that I was working for that they had



	Page 22			Page 23
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	
2	blockchain.	2	A. Uh-huh.	
3	And in my most recent employ just	3	Q do you see that?	
4	before Stout, I worked on the blockchain	4	A. Yep. Yes.	
5	for specific use cases for the fintech	5	Q. Do any of these service lines	
6	industry.	6	that you are the practice lead for focus on	
7	Q. And what do you mean by that,	7	asset valuation?	
8	when you say work on the blockchain? What	8	A. Yes. The second one, the data	
9	were you actually doing?	9	analyticals and business intelligence.	
10	A. I was actually using blockchain	10	This is on our website, the one we have	
11	to identify customers. So I knew the	11	the one we list the digital assets	
12	intricacies of the blockchain and how it	12	subpractice line, if you will.	
13	works, which is the main platform for	13	Q. And what is the you know, what	
14	digital assets.	14	is the scope of the work that you do at	
15	Q. And was either of those either	15	Stout or have done at Stout in the area of	
16	of those experiences neither of those	16	valuation that falls under that business	
17	experiences involved valuation of digital	17	line?	
18	assets, correct?	18	A. I valued cryptocurrencies and	
19	A. Correct.	19	NFTs, non-fungible tokens.	
20	Q. Okay. Turning to your time at	20	Q. Okay. With respect to valuation	
21	Stout.	21	of cryptocurrencies, can you elaborate on	
22	On the first page of your CV, you	22	your experience there?	
23	list under the heading of Stout you're the	23	A. Yes. Purely for cryptocurrencies	
24	global practice lead offering five service	24	in the last two years, I had about eight	
25	lines there	25	valuation projects. Six of them were	
	Page 24			Page 25
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	
2	primarily for tax purposes, and two of them	2	A. I did not.	
3	were for financial reporting from companies	3	Q. Does any of your work at Stout as	
4	that they invest.	4	the global digital practice lead, do you	
5	And one of them actually was the	5	have experience, specific experience with	
6	Celsius bankruptcy where I valued close to	6	respect to determining asset discounts	
7	130 cryptocurrencies.	7	prior to this matter?	
8	Q. And was the valuation you did in	8	A. Yes.	
9	Celsius, does that fall within your	9	Q. Can you explain your experience	
10	category of tax purposes or financial	10	in that area?	
11	purposes?	11	A. Those projects that I mentioned	
12	A. That one was primarily for	12	before with cryptocurrencies for IRS	
13	financial reporting. That was the	13	purposes	
14	instructions.	14	Q. Uh-huh.	
15	Q. And you did not did you submit	15	A and even for financial	
16	a report in the Celsius bankruptcy?	16	reporting, they had discounts in them that	
17	A. There was the report that was	17	I had to calculate.	
18	submitted by Alvarez & Marsal on our	18	Q. Okay. So when you say	
19	behalf, but my analysis was part of the	19	"discount," when you say that you	
20	report.	20	calculated discounts for IRS purposes	
21	Q. And you did not testify in the	21	A. Uh-huh.	
22	Celsius bankruptcy?	22	Q can you walk me through what	
23	A. There was one of my colleagues	23	that type of assignment would be, what yo	u
24	that testified.	24	would actually do?	
25	Q. Okay. But you did not?	25	A. Yes. An individual or an entity	

	Page 30		Page 31
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	submitted expert reports in arbitration	2	A. Maybe a year and a half ago. I
3	proceedings?	3	mean, I don't exactly remember, but
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. How are you engaged in this
5	Q. How many times?	5	matter?
6	A. Just once.	6	A. A colleague of mine from Stout
7	Q. What was the subject matter of	7	told me about DLA Piper's sort of, like,
8	·	8	need for an expert to analyze and study the
	that expert report?		
9	A. Primarily sampling theory,	9	opposing experts' report and value the
10	confidence intervals. That was the	10	assets.
11	primary that was I was an expert for	11	And then he put me in contact
12	confidence interval and sampling theory.	12	with DLA Piper, and that's how I was
13	Q. Did you testify in that case?	13	engaged.
14	A. I'm not sure if legal it's called	14	Q. And how did you become were
15	testimony, but, yes, I was I was there	15	you separately engaged by Reed Smith on
16	in arbitration hearing in person with the	16	behalf of those clients?
17	other expert.	17	MR. ROSTOCKI: Object to form.
18	Q. And you were examined in front of	18	THE WITNESS: Again, through the
19	the arbitration panel?	19	same colleague of mine, I was
20	A. Correct.	20	separately engaged also with Reed
21	Q. And did that engagement have	21	Smith.
22	anything to do with digital assets?	22	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
23	A. No.	23	Q. And so the engagements were
24	Q. Do you recall when that when	24	each came to you through your colleague at
25	that was?	25	Stout?
	Page 32		Page 33
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. Correct.	2	Maps, Oxy and Serum?
3	Q. What was the scope of the	3	A. Yes.
4	assignment that was given to you by	4	Q. What was the scope of your
5	DLA Piper on behalf of its clients?	5	assignment in this matter by the when
6	A. It was to read, study and analyze	6	you were retained by the Reed Smith
7	the opposing experts' reports and also	7	clients?
8	provide value for the portfolios.	8	A. It was, again, to study and
9	Q. When you say "provide value for	9	analyze the opposing experts' reports and
10	the portfolios," what are you referring to?	10	provide value for the portfolios of their
11	A. I'm referring to valuing the	11	
12	portfolios.	12	clients or their digital assets that they hold.
13	Q. Portfolios of what?	13	
	`		Q. Is it your understanding there's
14	A. Of the two entities that I	14	any difference in scope of your assignment
15	represent.	15	between those two engagements?
16	Q. With respect to a portfolio of	16	A. Well, primarily on the Reed Smith
17	what? Of their cryptocurrency holdings?	17	it was mostly focused on the on the
18	A. Yes. Of the digital assets.	18	valuation part. That's evident in my
19	Q. Was that limited in some way to	19	report.
20	specific digital assets?	20	And on the DLA Piper side, it was
21	A. Well, in this case one of them	21	also a little bit more on the studying and
22	had Maps and Serum, and the other had Oxy	22	analyzing the opposing experts' reports.
23	and Serum.	23	Q. Were there any opinions in this
24	Q. So you were you undertook to	24	matter that either clients asked you to
25	value the holdings of the DLA clients in	25	give that you declined to provide?

	Page 34		Page 35
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. No.	2	rely on data from CoinMarketCap; is that
3	Q. Had you worked with DLA Piper on	3	right?
4	any other engagements prior to this one?	4	A. It's one of the sources.
5	A. No.	5	Q. Have you done any work with
6	Q. Do I understand correctly from	6	CoinMarketCap directly as a client?
7	your report that you're being paid hourly	7	A. No.
8	for your services in connection with this	8	Q. How many approximately how
9	litigation?	9	many hours have you spent on this
10	A. Yes.	10	engagement between the clients?
11	Q. Are you receiving any additional	11	A. That's an approximation, because
12	compensation or incentives beyond your	12	there's no way for me to remember. But I
13	hourly rate?	13	would say approximately 80 hours.
14	A. No.	14	Q. Do you have any sense of how many
15	Q. Mr. Konstantinidis, have you done	15	hours the others on your team spent on this
16	any prior work with CoinMarketCap?	16	engagement?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Less than that definitely.
18	Q. When have you worked with	18	Q. What is your understanding,
19	CoinMarketCap?	19	Mr. Konstantinidis, of what what the Oxy
20	A. In all of this engagements I	20	token is?
21	described before, CoinMarketCap is the main	21	A. My understanding is that it is a
22	data aggregator that I'm using along with	22	utility and governance token that it's
23	the other two that I put in my report, Coin	23	it's used for specific fintech use cases
24	Paprika and CoinGecko.	24	like borrowing. And it's a native token
25	Q. So in your work, you generally	25	for the Oxygen protocol.
	Page 36		Page 37
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	Q. What is the understanding what	2	Q. I'm sorry. Where are you
3	understanding do you have of what the	3	looking?
4	Oxygen protocol is?	4	A. I'm looking at page 8.
5	A. It provides the if you hold	5	Q. You're looking at paragraph 18 of
6	the network, that it has governance rules	6	your report
7	into it where the token lives. It is	7	A. Paragraph yes.
8	pretty standard in cryptocurrencies that	8	MR. TOROSIAN: Of Exhibit 1, just
9	they have their own network where they	9	for clarification.
10	reside.	10	THE WITNESS: Of Exhibit 1.
11	Q. Are you aware of the current	11	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
12	status of the Oxygen protocol ecosystem?	12	Q. In determination of you're
13	A. I wouldn't know the most recent	13	citing to what the what you state is the
14	ones, because I didn't check it recently.	14	main objective of the Oxygen protocol as
15	But it's still active, and it looks like it	15	stated there in paragraph 18?
16	still has a website and the native token is	16	A. That this is yeah, this is my
17	still trading.	17	understanding of the Oxygen protocol, what
18	Q. Beyond the native token still	18	I have in my report.
19	trading, and the fact that they have a	19	Q. And there's nothing in your
20	website, do you have any information one	20	report about what the current status of the
21	way or the other as to what the Oxygen	21	Oxygen protocol ecosystem is today,
22	protocol ecosystem is currently doing, if	22	correct?
23	anything?	23	A. No. Because the scope was to
24	A. I think I list that also in my	24	value everything as of the petition date.
25	report about its objective.	25	Everything that happened after is



	Page 46		Page 47
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. So for my	2	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
3	engagements for cryptocurrency, the	3	Q. Is there any value beyond the
4	scope is to value the tokens. So I'm	4	price let me strike that.
5	not sure what the fundamental value	5	Your valuation of tokens is
6	means in that context.	6	establishing the price that a buyer and
7	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	7	seller would agree on for purposes of that
8	Q. When you say "value the tokens,"	8	token, correct?
9	how do you define when you say "value	9	A. Yes. Or for IRS purposes,
10	the tokens," what do you mean?	10	what what are they valuing those tokens.
11	A. I mean what do they value for a	11	Q. And you're not offering any other
12	willing seller and a willing buyer.	12	valuation opinions with respect to the
13	Q. As far as the price that you	13	tokens other than that price, as you define
14	could trade the token?	14	it?
15	A. Not necessarily trade, because it	15	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.
16	may not be traded. But the price that	16	THE WITNESS: What would be
17	someone is willing to pay to buy the token.	17	another opinion? I'm
18	Q. In your mind, is there any value	18	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
19	in a token that goes beyond the price that	19	Q. I'm just asking.
20	a buyer and a seller would be willing to	20	A. No, I understand. But I'm giving
21	pay and receive?	21	the value of the token.
22	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	22	Q. Okay. Mr. Konstantinidis, you
23	THE WITNESS: What do you mean?	23	your reports, you and you agree with the
24	Can you give me an example?	24	debtors here that discounts to the petition
25	///	25	date spot pricing for Maps, Oxy and Serum
	Page 48		Page 49
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	need to be made, correct?	2	A. Which motion are you referring
3	A. Yes.	3	to?
4	Q. So you're not suggesting that	4	Q. Well, let's take a look at it.
5	there's any basis to value any of Maps, Oxy	5	(Whereupon, Exhibit 3, is marked
6	or Serum at the spot price on the petition	6	for identification.)
7	date, right?	7	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
8	A. Correct.	8	Q. Sir, you have in front of you
9	Q. So the claims that were submitted	9	what is titled "Motion of Debtors to
10	by your clients in the debtors' bankruptcy	10	Estimate Claims Based on Digital Assets."
11	cases with amounts based on petition date	11	Do you see that?
12	spot pricing are incorrect or	12	A. Yes.
13	unsupportable, correct?	13	Q. Have you ever reviewed this
14	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.	14	document before?
15	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	15	A. I may have looked at it, but I
16	THE WITNESS: I haven't read that	16	don't remember the details.
17	document. But due to the volume of the	17	Q. Do you have an understanding of
18	trading volume, you cannot use the spot	18	what the debtors seek to do in this motion
19	price as of the petition date for the	19	for which you were retained to provide your
20	whole block.	20	valuation?
21	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	21	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.
22	Q. Sir, have you reviewed the motion	22	THE WITNESS: Not exactly,
23	that was filed by the debtors to estimate	23	because that was out of scope out of
24	claims based on digital assets that we're	24	the scope of what I did.
25	here talking about today?	25	///

	Page 50		Page 51
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
1 2	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	2	of FTX, correct?
3	Q. So you looked you just looked	3	A. Correct.
4	at as you described it, you provided	4	Q. And you're not anywhere offering
5	critiques of the debtors' experts, and you	5	an opinion on the value of Maps, Oxy or
6	valued the tokens?	6	Serum as of the petition date that would be
7	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.	7	applicable to other customers of FTX,
8	THE WITNESS: That was the scope,	8	correct?
9	yes.	9	A. Correct.
10	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	10	Q. And your opinion, as reflected in
11	Q. Okay. All right. So you	11	Exhibit 1, is that discounts to petition
12	didn't you didn't do anything else with	12	date prices should be made for each of your
13	respect to consider what the debtors were	13	clients on a per-entity basis, correct?
14	asking the Court to do in connection with	14	A. Yes.
15	the opinion you were providing, correct?	15	Q. All right. And you say that
16	A. Yes. I'm a valuation expert, so	16	in if you look at paragraph 49 of your
17	I valued the portfolios.	17	report.
18	Q. You've described a few times as	18	A. Uh-huh.
19	you valued your clients' portfolio of Maps,	19	Q. In paragraph 49 you say, "The
20	Oxy and Serum tokens, correct?	20	result of this analysis as of the date of
21	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.	21	this report and based on the foregoing
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	22	valuation methodology is that the
23	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	23	appropriate discounts on a per-entity basis
24	Q. You did not value Maps, Oxy or	24	as of the petition date," and it goes on to
25	Serum with respect to any other customers	25	list price discounts there, correct?
	Page 52		Page 53
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. Yes.	2	assets. So you treat the portfolio
3	Q. And so consistent with that view	3	isolated to everything else.
4	in paragraph 49 of your report, you apply a	4	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
5	different discount to the Serum held by	5	Q. I understand. I'm just trying to
6	Maps Vault Ltd. than to the tokens held by	6	understand what you did.
7	Oxygen Vault Ltd., correct?	7	A. Uh-huh.
8	A. Correct.	8	Q. So what you did, you calculated a
9 10	Q. And this is because under your	9	discount based on the holdings of each
11	methodology, you calculate the asset you calculate the discount based on the	11	individual client, and that gets you to
12	holdings of Serum for each of those clients	12	potentially, as we see here, different discounts for different clients, correct?
13	individually, correct?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. And so under your methodology, if
15	Q. And so the result of applying	15	the debtors needed to calculate a discount
16	different discounts is that there would be	16	and a price for each of its customers, it
17	a different token value on the petition	17	would need to do an individual analysis, as
18	date for each of your clients, correct?	18	you did here for each of your clients,
19	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.	19	correct?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. But this is	20	A. Not for all the tokens.
21	normal for those valuations when in the	21	Q. But for these tokens. I'm
22	previous projects you asked me, a	22	talking about for these tokens.
23	client comes and they want me to value	23	A. Yes.
24	the portfolio, I don't know what other	24	Q. Nowhere sir, nowhere in your
25	clients are holding for the same	25	analysis reflected in Exhibit 1 or



	Page 54		Page 55
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	Exhibit 2 are you accounting for the	2	A. But from that 95 percent, these
3	debtors' other holdings of Maps, Oxy or	3	are gonna be the holdings
4	Serum other than the claims of your	4	Q. Uh-huh.
5	clients, correct?	5	A of the clients, because FTX
6	A. Yes.	6	would be serving as a custodian, correct?
7	Q. Are you aware, sir, that more	7	Q. Are you suggesting that your
8	than 95 percent of the maximum supply of	8	clients hold all of that 95 percent or have
9	each of these tokens were in the possession	9	claims to that 95 percent?
10	of FTX and Alameda on the petition date?	10	A. I haven't seen any data about how
11	A. This is a number that I believe	11	the tokens break down between my clients
12	it was in Professor Howell's report. I	12	and the remaining tokens that FTX holds.
13	just didn't see the data verified that.	13	What I'm suspecting is if you're
14	But I wouldn't say yes or no just because I	14	saying that FTX held 95 percent, some of
15	didn't see proof of that.	15	the holdings would belong to those entities
16	Q. So you don't know one way or the	16	that I valued their tokens.
17	other?	17	Q. Do you have any sense of the
18	A. I don't know for sure.	18	magnitude as to your clients' holdings as
19	Q. Does that sound plausible to you?	19	compared to other holdings of FTX in Maps,
20	A. I mean, if you had me to guess,	20	Oxy and Serum?
21	it would sound plausible.	21	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.
22	Q. In your view, though, those	22	THE WITNESS: Do you mean
23	holdings are not relevant to your analysis	23	separately or together all the
24	in calculating the discount with respect to	24	plaintiffs combined?
25	the claims of your clients, right?	25	///
	Page 56		Page 57
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	2	data, but that's what I'm guessing.
3	Q. Plaintiffs meaning your clients.	3	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
4	A. Yeah, meaning the four entities.	4	Q. And whatever the percentage is
5	Q. Yeah. So we can put them	5	beyond your client's share of that
6	either way is fine. If you can put them	6	95 percent, you did not take that into
7	together, do you have a sense on a combined	7	account in calculating your discounts,
8	basis the percentage of tokens that your	8	correct?
9	clients hold compared to the debtors?	9	A. Correct.
10	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	10	Q. In your analysis, what, if
11	MR. ROSTOCKI: Object to form.	11	anything, do you assume will happen to the
12	THE WITNESS: It would be my	12	debtors' remaining holdings of Maps and Oxy
13	guess would be that the percentage	13	beyond the tokens that are claimed by your
14	would be high for Maps and Oxy, but not	14	clients?
15	necessarily for Serum.	15	A. What do you mean what will
16 17	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	16 17	happen? Q. What will the debtors do with
	Q. And you say high for Maps and		`
18 19	Oxy. So of the 95 percent of the	18 19	those tokens? MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.
20	maximum supply held by the debtors, you're	20	THE WITNESS: That was outside
21	suggesting that there would be a high	21	the scope. What I did was value the
22	percentage of that that was held by your	22	tokens.
23	clients?	23	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
24	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	24	Q. And so you didn't take into
25	THE WITNESS: I haven't seen the	25	
			Q. And so you didn't take into account, though, whether the debtors needed

	Page 70		Page 71
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	the 5 to 10 percent that I'm trying to find	2	MR. TOROSIAN: Objection to form.
3	that for individual stocks, that it	3	THE WITNESS: I disagree, because
4	doesn't affect the market price.	4	in this paper they're not valuing
5	Q. But you would agree	5	assets, and they're trying to
6	MR. TOROSIAN: Take your time.	6	understand market crashes. So it's a
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	7	completely different scope of what I
8	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	8	did.
9	Q sir, that it is possible that	9	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
10	even if quantities traded are restricted to	10	Q. In terms of the purpose of the
11	5 or 10 percent of daily volumes, there	11	report is different, in your view?
12	could be an affect on price change?	12	A. But also the analysis they
13	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	13	provide. They also do not see
14	THE WITNESS: No. I disagree.	14	transactions. They see bets.
15	This is an assumption I use and other	15	Q. Okay. And how do you see that as
16	competitors of us are using in the	16	transaction versus bets leading to a
17	valuation reports, so this is a valid	17	different outcome?
18	assumption.	18	A. Well, because bets are
19	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	19	
20	Q. Okay. So you disagree with this	20	constructed differently. There may be a number of transactions altogether combined
21	conclusion, which is one of multiple	21	that it has a completely different modeling
22	conclusions, but then you disagree with	22	that they're trying to put into the market
23	this conclusion that's set forth in the	23	to understand pricing impact.
24	2023 K&O report?	24	Q. You said that the 10 percent is a
25	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.	25	reasonable assumption. Did you perform any
25	Page 72	2.5	Page 73
	-		
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	analysis to support that assumption for	2	Q. What level of volume increase
3	purposes of this opinion?	3	would you expect a result to result in a
4	A. I think I provided some	4	nonzero price impact for Maps?
5	cryptocurrency data that they show large	5	A. Well, my assumption is
6	trades, and we also I also did the	6	10 percent. So anything over 10 percent
7	analysis for the traditional stock market	7	will potentially have a price impact.
8	for the second secondary offerings.	8	Q. So at 10.1 percent we might see a
9	But this is, again, an	9	price impact?
10	established percentage that practitioners	10	A. Yeah, I would say, on average,
11	are using. This is based on real-world	11	based on my assumption everything over
12	data and interviews and decades of	12	10 percent, although it's still
13 14	experience.	13 14	conservative, may potentially have a price
	Q. Do you cite anywhere in your		impact.
15 16	report any other practitioners or citations in the literature that agree that the	15 16	Q. And because you're using the 10 percent as a fixed assumption, that
17	10 percent is a reasonable assumption	17	would be the same for Oxy and Serum as
18	across the board?	18	well?
19	A. I don't have any citations of the	19	A. Yes.
20	report.	20	Q. Your blockage discount method
21	Q. In your view, with respect to the	21	assumes there will be block trading every
22	market for Maps, Oxy and Serum, could there	22	single day in Maps and Oxy for four to five
23	be some price impact above zero at the	23	years depending on the token, correct?
24	10 percent trading level?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. No.	25	Q. And do you account for



	Page 74			Page 75
				rage 75
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	
2	anywhere do you account anywhere for	2	the IRS?	
3	market expectations of these ongoing block	3	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	
4	trading day after day?	4	THE WITNESS: It is other	
5	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.	5	competitors of Stout's are using it.	
6	THE WITNESS: No.	6	Some of the reports are public, and	
7	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	7	they were made in the U.S. tax court	
8	Q. Do you think that the market at	8	about the 10 percent.	
9	some point would recognize that there was	9	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	
10	block trading happening at this volume	10	Q. Do you cite any of those in your	
11	every day and adjust over time?	11	opinion?	
12	A. The cryptocurrency market is	12	A. I don't cite them, no.	
13	difficult to understand. So by selling,	13	Q. The 10 percent assumption applies	
14	you create liquidity. So also the price	14	to each of your clients individually,	
15	can go up. There are other factors outside	15	correct?	
16	of what you control.	16	A. Correct.	
17	So you don't really know if it	17	Q. Okay. So it's a 10 percent is	
18	will go up or down. But, again, this is an	18	it a 10 percent increase in the overall	
19	assumption that has been used and approved.	19	market for Maps, or is it that your each	
20	And I'm following just a well-known path	20	of your clients could sell 10 percent per	
21	for valuation practitioners.	21	day?	
22	Q. Okay. And when you say that this	22	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	
23	10 percent is well established by valuation	23	THE WITNESS: It's each client.	
24	practitioners, what is the basis for that	24	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	
25	statement other than your experience with	25	Q. Each of the clients?	
	Page 76			Page 77
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	3
2	A. (No audible response.)	2	could each sell 10 percent under your	
3	Q. So each of the clients could sell	3	methodology, correct?	
	10 percent into the market each day and not	4	A. Correct. But, again, you see the	
4 5	have any your assumption is not have any	5		
6		6	portfolio's isolated, and that's what you do for normal projects. There's no way to	
7	effect on price, correct? A. Correct.	7	1 3	
			investigate if somebody comes and have	
8	Q. So your three clients would be	8	large amounts of cryptocurrency, what	
9	selling 10 percent each, 30 percent of	9	others are holding.	
10	their holdings each day, correct?	10	So that's the methodology. This	
11	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	11	is a special case that I happen to be the	
12	THE WITNESS: For which you're	12	valuation expert for those entities. But	
13	talking?	13	in most of the cases, I do not know what	
14	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	14	others are holding. And every portfolio is	
15	Q. Let's for Maps.	15	treated differently.	
16	A. So what is the percentage you	16	MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Why don't	we
17	said?	17	take a 5-minute break.	
18	Q. Well, you're saying each client	18	MR. TOROSIAN: Yeah, sure.	
19	who holds a token could sell 10 percent	19	THE STENOGRAPHER: Off the	
20	into the market each day without any effect	20	record.	
21	on price, correct?	21	(Whereupon, a recess was taken at	
22	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	22	10:21 a.m.)	
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.	23	MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Back on the	e
24	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	24	record.	
25	Q. And if you had 50 clients, they	25	///	

	Page 78		Page 79
1		1	
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Now, Mr. Konstantinidis, can you	3	Q. And then, so, similarly in the
4	go back to Exhibit 1, which is your report.	4	report in Exhibit Number 2 with respect to
5	We were looking earlier at paragraph 49.	5	other clients, paragraph 24, the holdings
6	We discussed you set out in 49	6	of Foundation Elements would be a third
7	a discount rate for the tokens held by each	7	portfolio? A. Yes.
8	of the DLA clients there, correct? A. Yes.	8 9	
10		10	Q. And then Foundation Serendipity a
11	Q. And in paragraph 50, then you calculate a value of those tokens based on	11	fourth portfolio, correct? A. Yes.
12	the adjusted discounted price, correct?	12	Q. So for purposes of your opinions
13	A. Yes.	13	here, you valued, in your parlance, four
14	Q. Okay. And you testified this	14	portfolios of tokens; is that correct?
15	morning that you evaluated you valued	15	A. Yes.
16	each of the clients' portfolios of tokens	16	Q. Did you value any other
17	as they used it, correct? That's the term	17	portfolios of tokens?
18	you used?	18	A. No.
19	A. Yes. That's the term I used,	19	Q. Sorry to jump back, sir, but if
20	meaning things they had.	20	you could go back to Exhibit 1, which is
21	Q. So did you calculate that in	21	your report on behalf of the DLA clients.
22	this report here, did you calculate do	22	Do you have that, sir?
23	you consider the Maps Vault Ltd. holdings	23	A. Uh-huh.
24	to be one portfolio and Oxygen Vault Ltd. a	24	Q. If you could look at paragraph 33
25	second portfolio?	25	of your report.
	Page 80		Page 81
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	into the market where there are buyers for
3	Q. You state in the middle of	3	those tokens?
4	paragraph 33, quote, "Large amounts of	4	A. My apologies. Let me more
5	Maps, Oxy and Serum can be absorbed into	5	than 10 percent.
6	the cryptocurrency market, and there is no	6	Q. More than
7	reason to require a slow trading strategy,"	7	A. Because obviously my opinion is
8	end quote.	8	up to 10 percent it won't depress the
9	Do you see that in the middle	9	value.
10	A. Yes.	10	So here I show six transactions
11	Q. Yeah. What do you mean by "large	11	that they are over 10 percent that the
12	amounts" there in paragraph 33?	12	market can absorb without crashing.
13	A. I mean more than 10, 15 percent	13	Q. Okay. So we'll get to the six in
14 15	of daily trading volume. Q. And when you say "can be absorbed	14 15	a moment.
16	into the cryptocurrency market," do you	16	But your statement specifically
17	mean can be absorbed without a price	17	about Maps, Oxy and Serum, so it's your testimony that in excess of 10 percent can
18	impact?	18	be absorbed into the market on a daily
19	A. No. I mean they can be sold.	19	basis without crashing the market?
20	They can be absorbed. They will not crash	20	A. I'm not making the claim about
21	the market.	21	daily basis. The data show individual
22	Q. They will not crash the market.	22	large tokens sold in the market.
23	So it's your opinion that large	23	Q. So it's just your general view
24	amounts, 10 to 15 percent, in your words,	24	that large amounts in excess of 10 percent
25	of these at-issue tokens can be absorbed	25	of these three tokens can be absorbed into

	Page 8	32		Page 83
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024		1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	the market, meaning if you sold them, there		2	So the six tokens that are listed
3	would be a buyer?		3	in paragraph 33, how did you identify those
4	A. Yes. But it's not only my view.		4	tokens?
5	I provided the data to support.		5	A. I did not identify the tokens. I
6	Q. And so the support for that		6	was looking for large transactions. This
7	statement are the examples that you list		7	is a random sample. I didn't pick them.
8	below in A through F?		8	Q. This is a random sample of large
9	A. Yes. These are some examples.		9	transactions.
10	But, in general, based on my professional		10	So there are others that you
11	judgment, that has happened in the past		11	reviewed, other large transactions that you
12	with other crypto tokens.		12	reviewed?
13	Q. Okay. And you cite six examples		13	A. These are the ones that I found
14	in your report.		14	readily, because it's not it's time
15	Do you provide any other do		15	consuming to mine the blockchain.
16	you provide any other evidence of that view		16	Q. For these six examples that you
17	other than these six examples in		17	list in paragraph 33, did you track what
18	paragraph 33?		18	happened to the price over a longer period
19	A. I haven't provided any other		19	of time following these block trades?
20	examples, but also I'm relying on my		20	A. Over a long period of time
21	experience with a cryptocurrency market		21	meaning?
22	where I have seen large trades do take		22	Q. Well, you present here in
23	place without destroying the market.		23	paragraph 33, and you state the volume of
24	Q. Okay. If you could turn to		24	the trade and then you say what happened to
25	paragraph I'm sorry. Before we do that.		25	the price on the following day
	Page 8	34		Page 85
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024		1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. Uh-huh.		2	used a CoinMarketCap API, and I used the
3	Q do you see that?		3	criterion of the volume, the dollarized
4	A. Yes.		4	volume in USD to be between 1 million and
5	Q. Did you did you track what		5	30 million, which are relatively successful
6	happened to the price of these six tokens		6	tokens.
7	in the six months following the block		7	And I found the average volume
8	trade?		8	for the five years of their existence, and
9	A. No, I didn't.		9	I applied that volume profile to Maps, Oxy,
10	Q. Did you look at the effect of the		10	Serum.
11	price of this of these tokens at any		11	Q. Okay. And how did you select
12	point other than the one following day to		12	those 20 cryptocurrencies?
13	assess the impact of the block trade?		13	A. The one selection was the daily
14	A. Not that I recall. We may I		14	volume as of the day that I around the
15	may have done, you know, some review of the		15	API. So as of, let's say mid-January, that
16	data, but not that I recall.		16	was their daily trading volume.
17	Q. All right. If we could turn now		17	And then I sorted them based on
18	to paragraph 46 of Exhibit 1.		18	market cap. And that's how I chose them.
19	Can you explain to me what you're		19	Q. And you said that the data that
20	presenting in paragraph 46 of Exhibit 1 of		20	you sourced for this information was from
21	your report?		21	CoinMarketCap?
22 23	A. Yes. For the blockers discount		22 23	A. Correct.
	method, I did not want to assume an			Q. Did you analyze whether any of
24 25	unrealistic assumption of constant value going forward, so I what I did was I		24 25	the 20 cryptocurrencies that are listed in Footnote 67

	Page 86		Page 87
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	existence of those tokens.
3	Q had any ties to FTX or	3	Q. So that could that could
	Alameda?	4	that could have spanned before or after the
5	A. No. Because the whole point was	5	petition date; it was five years of
	to understand cryptocurrencies outside the	6	existence from the time you did your
	holdings to understand their volume growth.	7	analysis?
8	Q. Did you analyze whether any of	8	A. Correct. This is why I don't
	the 20 tokens listed in Footnote 67	9	need to be having FTX holdings, because I
10	A. Uh-huh.	10	wanted to be independent of the bankruptcy.
11	Q experienced any market	11	Q. But did you assess in any way
	distress the way that Maps, Oxy and Serum	12	whether those crypto those 20
	did due to the collapse of FTX?	13	cryptocurrencies had their own significant
14	A. You mean can you clarify? You	14	market-affecting events?
	mean before the petition date or after the	15	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.
	petition date.	16	THE WITNESS: Well, it's
17	Q. Yeah. Before petition date. So	17	difficult to understand cause or
	at the time that you were looking at	18	relationship in the crypto market.
	well, let's let me step back on that.	19	Obviously there were major events
20	So the volume trends that you're	20	before the FTX bankruptcy.
	presenting in paragraph 46, are those	21	And it's difficult to demean or
	are those trends that occurred before or	22	take the mean out or count all the
	after the petition date?	23	events, because it's impossible to do
24	A. There were some before and some	24	in contingencies.
	after. The criterion was five years of	25	So I did review their volume, and
20	Page 88	2.5	Page 89
1		1	
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	based on my professional judgment, it	2	Serum for purposes of your report here?
3	didn't seem like they they were	3	A. So I aligned, since their
4	affected by major events. BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	4	since their existence, I aligned those five
		5	years of existence for those tokens from
6	Q. But you didn't do any analysis on	6	Maps, Oxy, Serum. Calendar years. So Maps
	a token-by-token base into the qualitative	· '	and Oxy had a prior year in existence, so I
	events that might have been at issue for	8	started year two.
9 1	those tokens?	9	And for Serum was two years in
11	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	11	existence, so I start the volume profile at
12	THE WITNESS: No, I did go and	12	profile at year three.
13	review the volume. And before the FTX	13	Q. Did you use an average of the 20
14	event, there was the Celsius event.	14	cryptocurrencies in terms of the volume numbers?
15	Before that, there was the Luna event.	15	A. Yes.
16	So there were a number of events,	16	
17	obviously major articles. I prefer to	17	Q. Did you calculate a median of
18	get the data as is versus applying subjective criteria to demean the	18	those numbers at any point in your analysis?
19	volume. Unless there is something that	19	A. I had a median just to review, so
20	I definitely considered to be affecting	20	I did calculate a median as well.
21	that. But that wasn't the case.	21	Q. And do you recall whether the
	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	22	median was higher or lower than the
23	Q. And then how did you how did	23	average?
	you apply the volume trends that you	24	A. The median was lower.
	learned from that analysis to Maps, Oxy and	25	Q. Was it significantly lower?



			- 04
	Page 90		Page 91
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. The median for the first year,	2	Professor Howell's calculation that the
3	the average was 850 percent, and the median	3	trading volumes of both Maps and Oxy would
4	was around 150 percent.	4	increase by over 850 percent and Serum by
5	Q. 150 percent?	5	more than 20 percent based on your
6	A. Yes.	6	projections?
7	Q. Nonetheless, you thought that the	7	A. Yes.
8	average was the more appropriate number to	8	Q. And you think that the 850
9	base the projected growth for purposes of	9	based on your analysis of these 20
10	the three at-issue tokens here?	10	cryptocurrency value trends, you believe
11	A. I felt it was the average better	11	that the 850 percent is a reasonable
12	represents your data. I did not feel that	12	projection?
13	I had bad data, meaning data that I had to	13	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.
14	take out.	14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe it's
15	And the median does does not	15	a reasonable projection. Because I
16	take out, you know, certain tokens, and I	16	also reviewed other tokens to find out
17	did want to include everything. I mean,	17	is it a real number. Did other tokens
18	which is the same thing, for example, that	18	have a similar growth between the
19	in Professor Howell's report, she is	19	estimation period that Professor Howell
20	calculating average volume from different	20	has before the year before the petition
21	cryptocurrency markets. She does not	21	date and one year after.
22	calculate the median. She takes the	22	And it seems that over more
23	average. For volume, the average is more	23	than 20 cryptocurrencies that did have
24	representative than the median.	24	significant growths over 850 percent,
25	Q. And do you agree with	25	you know, in the 5,000 percent range
	Page 92		Page 93
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	and even more.	2	tokens at these volumes, correct?
3	So it did seem like this is	3	A. When you say "significantly
4	this is reasonable. This is a	4	lower," what number range are you talking
5	reasonable growth rate.	5	about for the growth rate?
6	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	6	Q. Let's say well, if you did the
7	Q. From the 20 cryptocurrencies on	7	calculation to see how long it would take
8	which you base your value and projections,	8	to liquidate these these tokens at the
9	are you aware of any examples in your data	9	median level of I think you said
10	in which in which significant large	10	150 percent?
11	trades, 10 percent per day or more, were	11	A. I did not do the calculation.
12	observed?	12	Q. Do you have any sense as to at
13	A. No. I haven't I haven't done	13	150 percent growth rate, how long it would
14	this analysis.	14	take to liquidate these tokens versus your
15	Q. If the volume growth rates are	15	850 percent projection?
16	slower than you assume, the liquidation of	16	A. It's a guess, obviously. So
17	the three at-issue tokens would take	17	right now for the 850 percent, it takes 4
18	longer, correct?	18	to 5 years, 4.8 to 5.1 depending on the
19	A. Yes.	19	token in the portfolio.
20	Q. Potentially much longer?	20	If you go from 850, you said what
21	A. Well, "much" depends on what is	21	is the range? 100 percent
22	the growth rate that you're assuming.	22	Q. You said early
23	Q. So if you had a you know, if	23	(Simultaneous unreportable
24	you had a significantly lower growth rate,	24	crosstalk occurs among parties.)
25	it could take decades to liquidate these	25	///

			Page 95
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	(Stenographer requests one	2	the prices quote, "The prices and daily
3	speaker at a time.)	3	trading volumes used for the three tokens
4	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	4	as of the petition date and petition time
5	Q. If you used the 150 percent	5	with a 24-hour average is for the 24 hours
6	median calculation	6	prior to the petition date and time."
7	A. Uh-huh.	7	Do you see that?
8	Q do you have an estimate as to	8	A. Yes.
9	how long it would take to liquidate the	9	Q. Why did you select 24 hours as
10	tokens?	10	the window to average prices?
11	A. Well, I would need to run the	11	A. Because I want to be as close as
12	model again, but it's going to be more than	12	to the petition date, which is my valuation
13	five years.	13	date.
14	Q. Significantly more?	14	Q. Why 24 hours versus some other
15	A. Well, maybe	15	time increment?
16	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	16	A. Well, the 24 hours is an
17	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know the	17	established one. This is what
18	exact amount, but let's say somewhere	18	CoinMarketCap, CoinGecko, other providers
19	between 20, 30 years, somewhere along	19	are using for average volumes. And also in
20	those lines.	20	my Celsius engagement, that's the one that
21	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	21	I used. And the Court accepted the 24-hour
22	Q. If we could look at back to	22	average.
23	Exhibit 1 of your report, paragraph 45.	23	Q. You used 24-hour averages not
24	A. Uh-huh.	24	only for volume here, you're saying also
25	Q. You state in paragraph 45 that	25	for pricing, correct?
	Page 96		Page 97
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	A. Yes.	2	Professor Howell's one year prior to the
3	Q. And did you you didn't	3	CoinDesk article. So I did look in
4	consider strike that.	4	different intervals.
5	You believe that the 24-hour	5	Q. And you did not make any
6	average is also appropriate for pricing,	6	adjustments or discounts to those numbers
7	not only volume, correct?	7	on the 24-hour average due to the unusual
8	A. Yes. It has to be both, because	8	events surrounding the collapse of FTX,
9	they're highly correlated. When one goes	9	correct?
10	up, the other goes down. So you can't have	10	MR. ROSTOCKI: Objection to form.
11	different intervals.	11	THE WITNESS: What do you mean
12	Q. Did you other than relying on	12	"unusual events?"
13	your experience, did you do any sensitivity	13	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:
14	or other analysis to determine the 24 hours	14	Q. The fact that FTX was the
15	was the appropriate period?	15	public information about what was happening
16	MR. TOROSIAN: Object to form.	16	with FTX and the imminency of its
17	THE WITNESS: Sensitivity in what	17	bankruptcy.
18	way?	18	A. I did see the window, the 24-hour
19	BY MR. GLUECKSTEIN:	19	and the window for Maps and Oxy did not
20	Q. Did you do any any analytical	20	take into account the spike, the increase
21	calculations as to why 24 hours is	21	in volume and the highly decrease in price
22	appropriate? Did you look at any other	22	that they did happen before that 24-hour
23 24	periods of time? A. I did look at Mr. Lu's 60-minute	23 24	window.
25		25	Q. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that answer?
ر ک	interval for prices. I did look into	120	answei :



	Dama 100		Page 107
	Page 106		Page 107
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	trading?	2	CoinMarketCap?
3	A. Yes, I am.	3	A. For which particular exchanges do
4	Q. What is your understanding of	4	you mean?
5	what wash trading is?	5	Q. For any of the exchanges that are
6	A. Wash trading is primarily when I	6	aggregated by CoinMarketCap that are in
7	as an investor use the same wallet ID or	7	your data.
8	even multiple wallet IDs and I exchange	8	A. I my understanding is that
9	volume among myself or some other people I	9	documentation and it's a fact that
10	know just to create inflated volume and	10	CoinMarketCap takes all these measures I
11	inflated supply. So I will increase the	11	talked about before to detect fake volume
12	price of that token.	12	or incorrect pricing.
13	Q. Do you have an understanding	13	And after it does that, then it
14	what other than wash trading, what fake	14	cleans out the data, and that's what it
15	volumes would refer to?	15	reports.
16	A. That would also refer to certain	16	Q. Do you understand there to be
17	exchanges that for their own benefit and	17	a do you understand there to be reports
18	market data, nobody can regulate them. So	18	that as recently as 2023 that wash
19	they can report any volume they want just	19	trading and spot trading markets on
20	to create that vehicle that they they	20	unregulated exchanges remains a significant
21	have a lot of transactions in their	21	problem?
22	platform.	22	A. Yes. For certain markets that
23	Q. Do you have a view as to whether	23	are unregulated, it's still a problem in
24	a wash trading or fake volume is an issue	24	cryptocurrency.
25	at the exchanges reported on by	25	Q. And it's your view that those
	Page 108		Page 109
1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024	1	F. KONSTANTINIDIS - 02/27/2024
2	problems are not pervasive in the	2	have low ranking. This is why I am relying
3	CoinMarketCap aggregated data?	3	on their data.
4	A. Correct. Yes.	4	Q. But you would agree that there
5	Q. And the basis for that is what's	5	are, within the exchange pool that
6	been reported by CoinMarketCap to the	6	CoinMarketCap is reporting, there are
7	public, correct?	7	higher ranked exchanges and lower ranked
8	A. Yes. My basis for that is the	8	exchanges, correct?
9	documentation they provide about the	9	A. But in most of the cases, they're
10	measures they take to protect whoever	10	the higher ranked exchanges that they
11	consumes that data.	11	provide. And based on the data I saw, for
12	Q. Did you exclude from any of your	12	example, comparing Professor Lu excuse
13	data, volume data, lower scoring exchanges	13	me, Professor Howell's volume with
14	that are reported by CoinMarketCap?	14	CoinMarketCap, she uses the 510,000 as the
15	A. No. Because just like I believe	15	average volume for the estimation period.
16	in the original report by Mr. Lu, the data	16	If you add LBank to that, you
17	aggregators when you extract historical	17	get you get up to 1.7 million. And the
18	data, they do not provide the different	18	CoinMarketCap reported volume is 1.8.
19	cryptocurrencies that they correspond to.	19	So there's 100,000 difference
20	Q. So isn't it true that one of the	20	between the two, which I believe probably
21	reasons that CoinMarketCap would reduce the	21	comes from a decentralized exchange or
22	rating of an exchange is because of	22	rating or any other reputable exchange that
23	concerns about their trading data?	23	would provide that extra volume.
24	A. In many cases, CoinMarketCap	24	So based on the data I saw, it
25	doesn't even include exchanges that they	25	makes perfect sense that CoinMarketCap does
	account even merade exchanges that they	<u> </u>	makes perfect sense that Commitative Cap does